Project 2. Research Proposal Draft Feedback KEY: 5 = exceptional, 4 = very good, 3 = good, 2 = fair, 1 = poor

HOW EFFECTIVELY DOES THE
title (2%)
• convey the specific goals of the study (along with name, affiliation, working group)
introduction section (16%)
• begin by describing the significance of the broad biological principle that is addressed
introduce the focal species and its specific conservation problems
make adequate reference to the primary literature
 use previous work to provide the necessary background information for your question
lay out the logical justification for carrying out the proposed research
objective and predictions section (5%)
• state the biological objective(s) or question(s) addressed by the research
• state the specific prediction(s) of the experiment to be performed
 provide a brief rationale and explanation for the objective(s) and prediction(s)
experimental methods section (22%)
 provide clear and sufficient detail about the
o location and relevance of conditions for the experiment to be performed
o experimental subjects
o necessary treatments and controls
o measurements to be collected from subjects for comparisons
explain convincingly why each treatment and control should be included
explain how bias would be controlled adequately in the experimental design
• explain how the experiment would achieve adequate replication
possible results section (15%)
• describe each result expected if the prediction were either upheld or not upheld
• provide effective graphs of results that match the different interpretations
significance section (5%)
• describe the importance of this research for answering a question about the species
describe the significance of the research to a broader area of biology
writing overall (15%)
 develop the ideas, motivations, and justifications adequately, clearly, and concisely
use correct grammar and spelling
use effective sentence, paragraph, and section structure
• use proper citation format in the text
use proper format for the literature cited section
proposal make the case that the research is (20%)
• significant – will lead to novel information that is important for conservation
definitive – designed to clearly and directly address a critical prediction
• feasible – can be completed ethically and in a realistic timeframe and budget

OVERALL SCORE:/100 /100 with late penalty)